

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,690	03/12/2002		Inge Johansen	2001_1827A	1301
-513	7590	06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	O & PONACK, L.	KERNS, KEVIN P		
2033 K STRE SUITE 800	EET N. W.	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20006-1021	1725	<u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	/	ML

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/009,690	JOHANSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

Advisory Action	10/009,690 JOHANSEN ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office in	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 April 2005</u>. A brief i 	n compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	oust be filed within two	months of the
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	iny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
AMENDMENTS	·		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9,11-14 and 16-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
<u> </u>			
		Kevin P. Kerns χ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725	vin Kermb/lt/05

Part of Paper No. 061605

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with regard to the applicants' remarks on page 5 of the after final amendment, the obviousness double patenting rejection remains despite the amendment of the deletion of the limitation "insulating plate..." from copending application 10/018,174. However, the double patenting rejection would be changed to 10/018,174 in view of either Naess, Jr. et al. or Kittilsen et al., both of which include the limitation "insulating plate having a protrusion...". Otherwise, claims 9, 11-14, and 16-18 would remain rejected under the prior art for essentially the same reasons as set forth in the final rejection mailed November 9, 2004.

KEVIN KERNS Yerin Kem 6/16/05 PRIMARY EXAMINER

2